

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/083,205	ZUPON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit

Ula C Ruddock 1771

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Ula C Ruddock. (3) _____.

(2) John Hlavka. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 May 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Ula C. Ruddock

Primary Examiner

Tech Center 1700

Ula Ruddock

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant has agreed to amend all the independent claims to read on a perforated reflective layer. Claim 7 will be incorporated into claim 1, claim 18 will be incorporated into claim 16, claim 23 will be incorporated into claim 20. Furthermore, Claim 25 will be amended by adding "wherein said aluminum layer is perforated." Finally, non-elected claims 9-13 will be cancelled. .